

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	IED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/811,898	03/05/97	EBERLE III		Н	HWE-103A	
Г		PM31/081:	2 7		EXAMINER	
KENNETH P G SUITE 201 P				LEV, B	排5	
ONE ROUTE 1				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FLEMINGTON	NJ 08822-17	31	•	3623		
•				DATE MAILED:	08/12/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No. 08/811,898

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Bruce A. Lev Group Art Unit

II	H		ľ		Ш	Ш	

Eberle

The Appeal Brief filed on is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.						
1.	192(ant is given a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the will be dismissed. The new complete brief must be filed IN TRIPLICATE. See 37 CFR 1.192(a).				
1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.				
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).				
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).				
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).				
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).				
6.	X	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and				
	a.	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.				
	b.	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.				
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).				
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).				
9.	X	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):				
		The brief states that claims 23-27 "may" be grouped together, which does not specifically state that claims 23-27 "are" grouped together. This question is examplified within the presented arguments pertaining to the rejection of claims 25-27, issue D, wherein the issue of the patentability of claims 25-27 is argued separately from the issue of the patentability of claims 23-27 as argued in issue C.				

Daniel P. Stodola Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600